

Chiesa viva

YEAR XLI - N° 444
DECEMBER 2011

MENSILE DI FORMAZIONE E CULTURA
DIRETTORE responsabile: sac. dott. Luigi Villa
Direzione - Redazione - Amministrazione:
Operale di Maria Immacolata e Editrice Civiltà
Via G. Galilei, 121 25123 Brescia - Tel. e fax (030) 3700003
www.chiesaviva.com
Autor. Trib. Brescia n. 58/1990 - 16-11-1990
Fotocomposizione in proprio - Stampa: Com & Print (BS)
contiene I. R.
www.chiesaviva.com e-mail: omieditriceciviltà@libero.it

«TRUTH WILL MAKE YOU
FREE» (Jo. 8, 32)

Poste Italiane S.p.a. - Spedizione in Abbonamento Postale - D.L. 353/2003
(conv. L. 27/02/2004 n° 46) art. 1, comma 2, DCB Brescia.

Abbonamento annuo:
ordinario Euro 40, sostenitore Euro 65 una copia Euro 3,5, arretrata Euro 4
(inviare francobolli). Per l'estero Euro 65 + sovrattassa postale
Le richieste devono essere inviate a: **Operale di Maria Immacolata e Editrice Civiltà**
25123 Brescia, Via G. Galilei, 121 - C.C.P. n. 11193257

I manoscritti, anche se non pubblicati, non vengono restituiti
Ogni Autore scrive sotto la sua personale responsabilità

Joseph Ratzinger

Il Dio
di Gesù Cristo

A Return
to

PAGANISM?

Queriniana

CHRISTMAS! CHRISTMAS?

Fr. Luigi Villa Th. D.

Here we are again with the wonderful announcement: «**Christus Natus EST NOBIS**»! [“Christ is born to us!”] So, we are no longer abandoned. We are no longer alone, lost in a world of Satan. **FOR US, CHRIST WAS BORN. COME AND WORSHIP!**

Yes, but not with the Protestant “**SANTA CLAUS**”, based on “**panettone** [sweet breads] and **gifts**”, but **the Christmas of Jesus Christ, the true “God” and true “Man,”** whose two natures, divine and human, **are united in a single person, in the “Second” Person of the Most Holy Trinity.**

This union of two persons in one is called “**the Hypostatic Union**”.

And the three divine persons are equal and inseparable, but **only the Second person became “Man,”** remaining, however, united to the Father and the Holy Spirit during His earthly life. The Divine Persons cannot be separated from one another. So, **Jesus Christ, while becoming “man”, never ceased to be God!**

The First Vatican Council wrote: «**The Roman Catholic Church believes and confesses that there is only one**



Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger.

living and true God, immeasurable, incomprehensible, infinite in intelligence, in will and in every perfection, and that since there is only one spiritual unity, absolutely simple and unchanging, it must be declared, in reality, and in essence that He is distinct from the world, supremely happy in himself and from himself, and inexpressibly loftier than anything besides himself which either exists or can be imagined.» (See D.B. 1782)

So, the essence of God, in the scholastic doctrine is the “**essence**”, that is such a thing and not another, consisting in its species, and is “**Substance**”, because it exists in itself and not in another. Therefore, the divine essence is the fact that God is the same as “**Subsistent Being.**” «**I am He who am ...**», «**He who has sent me to you**» (Ex. 3, 13-14).

For this reason, the title that **Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger** gave to his book: “**The God of Jesus Christ**” (Queriniana) clearly means that **Jesus Christ has a different “God” and, therefore, Jesus Christ is not God.**

Faced with this very serious allegation we cannot remain

indifferent, but believe, on the contrary, that one should defend **“JESUS-GOD”** our Savior and Redeemer with holy pride.

So if defending the Pope is our duty as Catholics, and yet to say that we did not understand his words, is pure hypocrisy and cowardice, because if the function of **“Peter”** in the Church is irreplaceable, we must ask **“Peter”** to act as **“Peter”**, which does not mean, of course, to challenge the **“Tu es Petrus”!**

What we do not admit is that he can divert the Church on a different course from the authentic Catholic Faith because the Pope’s power is not unlimited, but limited by **Divine Law**. That is: the **“revealed Truth”**, and the **Sacraments – the Eucharist in the first place!** – the souls, and the Church, Herself, are not to the arbitration of the Pope because he could do as he pleases, but they have been entrusted to Him to protect them in integrity and in purity.

Now, this requires that the ecclesiastical power, according to its end, is used in the formation of the **Mystical Body of Christ**, not used for its **“destruction”** (2nd Cor. 10.8). Therefore, in the Church, there can be no room for arbitrariness, or despotism.

Reread Vatican I: **«The Holy Spirit was promised to the successors of “Peter” not so that they might, by His revelation, make known some new doctrine, but that, by His assistance, they might religiously guard and faithfully expound the revelation or deposit of faith transmitted by the apostles. i.e. “Deposit of Faith”»** (cf. Const. Dogmatic “De Ecclesia Christi,” Ezek. 1836).

Now, unfortunately, going beyond the limits of this Divine Law, the Papacy, History of the Church is to prove what **Cardinal Cajetan**, the great theologian, explicitly testified: **«Personae papae porenuere subesse officio Pope** (= the person of the Pope can refuse the duties of his office as Pope), because **the Pope is not always “infallible”** in the pastoral leadership and the government of the Church, but **He can make mistakes.»**

The infallibility is tied to certain precise conditions, well-specified by Vatican I, resulting in the formula **“ex cathedra”**. Outside these conditions, therefore, **the Pope can make mistakes, even in matters of doctrine**. So, outside of these conditions, the **“Ubi es Petrus, ibi Ecclesia”** [Where Peter is, there is the Church] has no value, because **Peter** becomes **“Simon”**, with his deficiencies!

Recall the **“Case of St. Athanasius,”** in which, in 360, he

was the only one among all the bishops of Christendom to defend the orthodoxy from the Arian heretics. As **St. Jerome** stated in dismay: **«The Catholic world found itself Arian!»** Now, the **“heresy”** that threatened the whole Church, was certainly the fault of **Pope Liberius**, for signing an ambiguous formula of faith.

Then followed the sad **Councils of Rimini** and **Saleucia**, in which the Arian heresy triumphed over Catholic truth defended by **St. Athanasius**. And today, **St. Anathansius** is venerated on the altars, while Pope Liberius is excluded from the **“Roman Martyrology”!**

Clearly, then, on that occasion, **“Tu es Petrus,”** did not apply to Pope Liberius and even the **“Ubi Petrus, ibi Ecclesia”**, as they could not condemn the protests of St. Athanasius and the few other defenders.

Rightly, then, **St. Thomas Aquinas** teaches us that **«There being an imminent danger for the faith, prelates must be questioned, even publicly, by their subjects,»** (see S.Th.II-II, to a.4 2), and that **«we must resist a Pope who openly destroys the Church»** (cf. **Cardinal Cajetan**, “De comparata auctoritate Papae et Concilii” [“Concerning the Comparative Authority of the Pope and the Councils”]).

It was **St. Paul’s** duty, when he felt compelled to resist **“in the face of Peter”** (cf. Gal. 2, II ff.).

It was exactly the same at the time of **Pope Liberius, Pope Honorius** and **Pope John XXII**.

At this point, we can ask: **«Donec contrarium, probetur»** [“Until the contrary is proved”], how can one explain the position of **Benedict XVI** as **“Vicar of Christ”**, when, at various times, he has written and said that **“JESUS**

CHRIST IS NOT GOD”?

We have even seen the title, **“The God of Jesus Christ,”** a book published by Queriniana in 2005-2006, but one that had already been published in Germany, once in 1976 and again in 1978.

But I, however, had clearly reported in January 2003, in my book on **“The ‘New Church’ of Paul VI”**, on the sacrilegious text, taken from the French edition of the book of Ratzinger, **“The Foie chretienne, hier et aujourd’hui,”** p. 126. [English version “The Introduction to Christianity, Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow” pp. 193-194]

We report here a short summary of the expression **“THE GOD OF JESUS CHRIST”** which alludes to God and Jesus Christ is **no longer linked to the truth of Divine Revelation**.



Benedict XVI.



August 19, 2005. Benedict XVI during his visit at the synagogue of Colonia, where he was received with all the honors by the Jewish community.

Even as a cardinal, **Ratzinger**, then **Prefect of the “Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith,”** clearly, wrote that **the real man, for the simple fact that he is integrally such, is God, and, therefore, God is a real man.**

But this [his Christology] is **nothing but a “heresy.”**

All his Christology, in fact, wanders around this axis that, for him, is essential. For him, that is, **“JESUS IS NOT GOD”** nor is He the natural Son of the Father, nor is He **“of the Father before all ages,”** and even **“not begotten, not made consubstantial with the Father,”** but **Jesus Christ is a mere man who “came to coincide with God” when, on the Cross, He personified “the being for the others, the antonomasia [epithet] for altruism.”**

It is clear, therefore, that **Ratzinger** rejects the Christology of the Church before Vatican II as **«a triumphalist Christology»**, which **“would no longer know what to do with a crucified and serving man, and would like to invent instead another ontological myth about God.»** (“The Introduction to Christianity, Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow” pg. 226)

So, **Card. Ratzinger**, to the **“triumphalist Christology”** that creates a **“myth of an ontological God”**, opposes his **“Christology of service”** that he says to have discovered in **St. John**, where the **“Son”** would only be a **“perfect servant”** [of Mankind]. He even goes so far as to put in Dante’s [Alighieri] mouth such a heretical stupidity. [Referencing Dante’s “Paradiso” XXXIII from the “Divine Comedy”] (Ibid pg. 194).

In addition, it also seeks to interpret **St. Paul** (I Cor. 15.45), where it says that Christ is **“the last man”**, **«the**

definitive man who introduces man into the future which is his; a future which is to be simply a man, but to be one with God.» He continues: **«... recognizes the Christian faith in Jesus of Nazareth the exemplary man.»** (Ibid pg. 228)

The **Cardinal**, even relies on the **Freemason Jesuit Teilhard de Chardin**, an apostate, stating: **«It must be regarded as an important service of Teilhard de Chardin’s that he rethought these ideas from the angle of the modern view of the world... and made them accessible again.»** (Ibid pg. 236)

Not only that, but the Cardinal will even make of this **pantheistic-monism** the Christology of St. Paul: **«From here onward faith in Christ will see the beginning of a movement in which dismembered humanity is gathered together more and more into the being of one single Adam, one single “body” – the man to come. It will see, in Christ, the movement to that future of man in which he is completely “socialized”, incorporated in one single Being...»** (Ibid pg. 238)

Heresy on heresy...! No more God becomes man, but man is God manifested in Jesus Christ!

These are bestialities which dissolve Catholic theology!

Even **Cardinal Siri**, in his intelligent book **“Gethsemane”**, asked himself: **«What can be the meaning of this statement? Or better: Christ is only a man, or man is god-like?»**

Cardinal Ratzinger, therefore, appears to be a **disciple of Rahner, SJ**, another heretic, who asked, **«Can one try to see the hypostatic union in the line of this absolute per-**

fection of that which is man?» (see Card. Siri, “Gethsemane”, citing “Nature et Grace” by Karl Rahner, p. 79). **Cardinal Ratzinger**, then abandoned the “**philosophy of being**” for that of “**becoming**”, so repudiating the Tradition and the Magisterium of all time, to return to the “**Modernism**” which «**does not recognize in Christ anything more than that of a man, seeing him as a God, because “the principle of faith is inherent in man.”**»

Unfortunately, **Cardinal Ratzinger has never retracted or denied anything of his writings**, indeed, he qualified himself as a “**balanced progressive**” for a “**quiet revolution of doctrine, without nostalgia for the past, irretrievably gone,**” and this is because “**it is the present state of the Church that we must remain faithful to, not [a Church of] yesterday or tomorrow.**” (See: “Entretien sur la Foi,” pp. 16-17).

His works, in fact, have concurred that he is not a “**restorer**” of the Faith, but an **opponent of Catholic Tradition in the repudiation of Divine Revelation!**

No wonder, then, that even today he reveals himself as the author of his book: “**THE GOD OF JESUS CHRIST**”, i.e. that of a **Jesus Christ that is not God!**

But even today it seems what is desired is to “**Rethink Christianity**”, even **questioning Jesus Christ-God** and questioning the entire Catholic faith to transform it into a kind of naturalistic pantheism that would blossom into a new Christianity from the ashes of [the Church of] two thousand years which they want to destroy. **But what will become of Jesus, then, who is wanted dead at all costs, even denying the Resurrection and the Ascension?**

The trouble is that these heretical deviations have officially entered Catholic universities, seminaries and theological studies. The gravity of the situation, then, is that they are blatantly presented by many who claim they, themselves, are “**Catholic scholars**”, “**Catholic writers**”, “**and Catholic priests.**”

Of course, **it is disconcerting to hear that Christ is mentioned only to be reviled** and depicted as a lover of Mary Magdalene, or even as a sodomite, or as a guru or fraud, and one cannot remain indifferent, but **feel a holy pride in defending Him – Our Holy Savior and Redeemer.**

It is clear that without Christ, we live in a perverse, lecherous, sodomite world, without scruples.

Even St. Paul warns us: «**Now I beseech you, brethren, to mark them who make dissensions and offences contrary to the doctrine which you have learned, and avoid them. For they that are such, serve not Christ our Lord, but their own belly; and by pleasing speeches and good words, seduce the hearts of the innocent**» (Romans 16, 17-18).

So, then **why did Benedict XVI say that we have the same God of Israel and Islam** since they do not believe in Christ, thus their religions are “**idolatrous**”?

St. Thomas Aquinas, in his “**Summa contra Gentiles**,” denies any relationship between our God – which is the true God – and that of Islam – which is false – even nonexistent.

Prof. Muhammad Hamidullah, former rector of the

“**Ain-Shamus**,” in Cairo, declared: «**To say that Muslims worship the same God of the Catholics, is false, because our God is not triune, and Muslims do not worship Jesus or the Holy Spirit.**»

It is likewise for the Jews who deny that Jesus is God.

The current hierarchy should know that the history of Christianity is littered with corpses of Christians, killed by Muslims, as it should know even now that the God of the “**Koran**” requires the “**holy war**” against the infidels, especially against us, Catholics.

We can read in the Qur’an: “**Allah, you are our Lord: make us victorious over the world of unbelievers**” (Sura 2.286).

On Christmas Eve, we proclaim our faith in the “**WORD MADE FLESH, JESUS CHRIST, GOD,**” and **we renew our determination to combat modern errors that masquerade beneath the mask of a most cunning religion, but one that is full of myths and occult symbols,** and pray that Humanity finds **Jesus Christ, God, the only way of salvation,** entirely in truth and in love with the joy in the “**Mystery of Jesus-God!**”

